			DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
Bucks Co	ounty Employees Retiremen	t Fund	CASE NUMBER
	v. th, Inc. et al	PLAINTIFF(S)	2:17-cv-03964 SVW(SSx)
Nantheal			ORDER RE TRANSFER PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 16-05
		DEFENDANT(S).	(RELATED CASES)
I her		TRANSFE of the above-entitled case	RORDER  eto my calendar, pursuant to General Order 16-05.  Beverly Reid O'Connell
			United States District Judge
		DECLIN	VATION
I hereby decline to transfer the above-entitled case to my calendar for the reasons set forth:			
Case was dismissed shortly after assignment, so there will be no duplication of judicial resources.			
	June 13, 2017		By ROG
	Date		United States District Judge
			S INDICATED BY COUNSEL
Case	2:17-cv-01824 BRO(MRV	Vx) and the present case	
✓ A.	Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings or events; or		
<b>✓</b> B.	Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or		
✓ C.	For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or		
☐ D.	Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common, and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges (applicable only on civil forfeiture action).		
		NOTICE TO COUN	ISEL FROM CLERK
F	Pursuant to the above transf	er, any discovery matters	that are or may be referred to a Magistrate Judge are hereby
transferr	ed from Magistrate Judge _		to Magistrate Judge
	On all documents subsequer	•	
			judges by means of these initials
cc: P	revious Judge Statistics (		ENERAL ORDER 16-05 (Related Cases)
O * 24 (10/1	o, ONDER KI	D DI IMMUUMU IMII IMII IU U	LILLIE ORDER 10 05 (Related Cases)